44

Quantitative Motor (Q-Motor) Assessments Suggest a Beneficial Central Effect of Laquinimod in a Phase II Study in Huntington Disease (LEGATO-HD)

Ralf Reilmann¹, Mark Forrest Gordon², Robin Schubert¹, Karen E. Anderson³, Andrew Feigin⁴, Sarah J. Tabrizi⁵, Blair R. Leavitt⁶, Julie C. Stout⁷, Paola Piccini⁸, Beth Borowsky², Gail Rynkowski², Rita Volkinshtein², Juha-Matti Savola², Michael R. Hayden²

¹George-Huntington-Institute, ²Teva Pharmaceuticals, ³MedStar Georgetown University Hospital & Georgetown University Medical Center, ⁴NYU Langone Health, ⁵University College of London, ⁶University of British Columbia, ⁷Monash University, ⁸Imperial College London

BACKGROUND and **OBJECTIVE**

- For patients with Huntington disease (HD), the assessment of motor symptoms in the clinic is often done using the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale-Total Motor Score (UHDRS-TMS), a categorical raterdependent scale which rates various motor signs including eye movements, speech, chorea, dystonia, rapid alternating movements, bradykinesia and gait.¹
- Alternatively, motor signs can be objectively and guantitatively assessed in the clinic using sensor-based measures, as in the quantitative motor (Q-Motor) battery applied e.g. in the TRACK-HD and PRIDE-HD studies.^{2,3}
- The LEGATO-HD study assessed laquinimod as a treatment for HD. While the study primary endpoint UHDRS-TMS did not show a significant difference between placebo and laquinimod groups, the secondary endpoint was met as there was a significant reduction in caudate volume loss in the laquinimod 1.0 mg group compared to the placebo group.
- The present report describes the assessment of motor symptoms in the LEGATO-HD study using the Q-Motor measures, as an exploratory and rater-independent outcome.

RESULTS

- In most of the Q-motor assessments, there was no significant difference when comparing the laquinimod 0.5 mg and laquinimod 1.0 mg to the placebo group.
- However as shown in **Fig 2**, speeded finger tapping (digitomotography) _ assessments demonstrated improvements with nominal statistical significance (p < 0.05) at laquinimod 0.5 mg and generally positive trends at laquinimod 1.0 mg for duration and variability of the following measures:
 - inter-onset interval (IOI)
 - inter-peak-interval (IPI)

Fig 2. Digitomotography assessment





METHODS

- Q-Motor assessments were performed at screening, baseline, and at weeks 4, 13, 26, and 52. The changes from baseline to each visit and to week 52 were analyzed.
- The Q-motor battery consisted of five ambulatoryapplied sensor-based assessments:
 - Digimotography (speeded finger tapping) (Fig. 1 below)
 - Dysdiadochomotography (pronation/supination hand tapping)
 - Manumotography (grip force)
 - Choreomotography (chorea analysis)
 - Pedomotography (speeded foot tapping)
- The data from the sites were transferred online for central quality control and an automated blinded analysis.
- The Q-Motor assessments were defined as exploratory endpoints and the various parameters contained in each type of assessment were analyzed.
 - As these were exploratory analyses, all p-values reported are nominal and have not been corrected for multiplicity. Speeded tapping

Tap force [Newton]

normal

mild deficits

1. M. Law Mark

severe deficits

time [sec]

ITI

101

TD

n value

0.03

Fig 1. Digimotography



- **Direct physiological** readout
- Recognizable "Gestalt" of pathology
- Sensitive 10-20 years before HD manifestation in HD gene carriers

Bechtel, et al. Neurology 2010.







0 1412







RESULTS

Patient Disposition and Demographics

- LEGATO-HD was fully enrolled with 352 patients participating at 48 sites in 10 countries.
- Q-Motor data was collected from 317 patients in the placebo, laquinimod 0.5 mg and 1.0 mg treatment arms.
- Baseline demographics were well balanced across treatment groups. Patients enrolled were in early stage HD.

Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics*

	Placebo (n = 108)	LAQ 0.5 mg (n = 107)	LAQ 1.0 mg (n = 107)
Age, years	43.8 (7.8)	43.3 (7.8)	44.0 (7.8)
Sex, n (%) males	52 (48%)	55 (51%)	53 (50%)
CAG repeats	44.2 (2.4)	44.4 (2.5)	44.0 (2.2)
Months from HD diagnosis	32.3	45.8	41.5
	(31.9)	(42.0)	(50.3)
Months from onset of HD symptoms	52.7	60.9	57.8
	(43.6)	(43.0)	(51.1)
UHDRS-TMS	26.4	24.0	22.1
	(14.6)	(13.2)	(10.7)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	.335	.330	.311
IOI MN Hand, sec	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.10)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	0.113	0.107	0.088
IOI SD Hand, sec	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.05)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	0.096	0.091	0.077
IOI SD Hand-R, sec	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.05)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap- Speed-	0.335	0.33	0.312
IPI MN Hand, sec	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.10)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	0.108	0.104	0.086
IPI SD Hand, sec	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.05)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	0.093	0.089	0.075
IPI SD Hand–R, sec	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.04)
Q-motor: Digitomotography Tap-Speed-	3.451	3.505	3.579
Frequency MN Hand, Hz	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.97)

* IIT cohort, mean (SD) unless otherwise specified; IOI = Inter-Onset-Interval; IPI= Inter-Peak-Interval; MN=mean, SD=Standard Deviation

References

- Huntington Study Group. Mov Disord. 1996;11(2):136-42. 1.
- Reilmann R, Schubert R. Handb Clin Neurol.2017;144:209-225. 2.
- 3. Reilmann R, et al. Lancet Neurol.2019;18:165-176.
- 4. Bechtel N, et al. Neurology. 2010;75(24):2150-60.

0.035		Adj. means ± SEM)3 -	Adj. means ± SEM			L
	Placebo	LAQ 0.5 mg	LAQ 1.0 mg		0.03	35 + 0	4	13	26	52
	Placebo	LAQ 0.5 mg	LAQ 1.0 mg	LAQ 1.5 mg					Study week	
N	108	106	104	27	N				etady nook	
Baseline	0.093	0.089	0.075	0.128	Placebo	108	107	101	99	96
∆ to placebo		-0.0181	-0.0147	n/a	LAQ 0.5 mg	106	102	97	93	86
p value		0.0146	0.0466		LAG 1.0 mg	104	101	100	96	90

CONCLUSIONS

- Q-Motor assessments revealed nominally significant improvements in several digitomotography tapping measures in the laquinimod 0.5 mg group and a few in the laquinimod 1.0 mg group, compared to placebo.
- Similar to previous studies all Q-Motor measures worsened in the placebo group, i.e. placebo responses seen in the UHDRS-TMS clinical rating scale were not observed.
- The results of the Q-Motor assessments must be viewed cautiously as corrections for multiplicity were not performed on these analyses.
- However, the consistency of the observations across measures suggests a central beneficial effect of laquinimod in LEGATO-HD of unknown clinical significance.
- These observations support a biological relevance of the MRI imaging changes observed and described in MDS 2019 poster number 43.

Contact: ralf.reilmann@ghi-muenster.de



Disclosures

Laquinimod is owned by Active Biotech, Lund Sweden. R.R. reports grants from CHDI-Foundation, DFG, EU-FP7, EHDN, DZNE, BMBF, and consultancy and clinical trial services for Actelion, Amarin Neuroscience, AOP Orphan, Cure Huntington Disease Initiative Foundation (CHDI), Desitin, Roche, IONIS, Ipsen, Lundbeck, Medivation, Mitoconix, Neurosearch, Novartis, Omeros, Pfizer, Prana, Prilenia, Raptor, Siena Biotech, Temmler, Teva, uniQure, Vaccinex, and Wave Life Sciences. K.E.A. reports grants from Teva and Auspex, consultancy from Lundbeck, and Auspex. **R.S.** received grant support from EU-FP7, A.F. reports consultancies from Vaccinex Inc. and US World Meds, grants and consultancy from Voyager Therapeutics, grant from Teva, and DSMB services for Auspex and Prana. S.T. reports consultancy honoraria paid to UCL from Simon Kucher Partnership, Roche, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis, Teva Pharmaecuticals, Siena Biotech, GSK, Astex, Novartis, Wellcome Trust, Optio Biopharma Solutions, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Shire Human Genetic Therapies Inc and grants from CHDI Foundation, Medical Research Council, UCL/UCLH Biomedical Research Centre, EU FP7 Health Call, Huntington disease Association of UK, Dementia and Neurodegenerative Disease Network UK, BBSRC, Leonard Wolfson Clinical Fellowship, The Wellcome Trust. **B.L.** reports consultancy from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Teva and grants from Medivation, Pfizer, Teva, and CIHR. J.C.S reports consultancy from Prana Biotechnology, Roche, GSK, and Teva; grants from Prana Biotechnology, CHDI Foundation, Inc., other from Omeros, personal fees from GSK, travel support from Vertex, and Teva contracted Stout Neuropsych Pty Ltd, a company that provides services or implementation of cognitive assessment batteries for clinical trial. P.P. reports consultancy honoraria paid to ICL from Michael J Fox Foundation (US), CHDI (US), Parkinson UK, Network European CNS Transplantation and Restoration (NECTAR), Evaluation Committee of PRTS of the French National Research Agency, Canada Research Chairs Program, France Parkinson « Grand Appel d'Offres and research consultancies from Teva Pharmaceuticals. MFG, BB, GR, RV, J-MS and MRH are employees or former employees of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.



Presented at the International Congress of Parkinson's Disease and Movement held in Nice France, September 22-26, 2019